presumed that the crisis has improved a bit at least. That being so the reduction in the T. A. of these low paid officers especially officers of inferior service, could have been removed. The Hon'ble Secretary stated that in the case of these inferior servants the actual T. A. is paid and the 4 annas of which the complaint is made is given to them to meet their dietary charges. Does the Hon'ble Secretary think that the 4 annas given to them now is sufficient to meet their dietary charges not in their homes but away from their homes? A Government like ours must be prepared to give something more to these low-paid officers. It is upto the Government to reduce the T. A. of the high-paid officers instead of reducing the T. A. of the inferior servants. Where is the justification for allowing Rs. 4—8—0 Daily Allowance to officers drawing fat salaries and who are also given 1½ first class at the reduced rate especially in view of the fact that some of these officers travel in classes below the one which is alloted to them. The T. A. may be reduced further in the case of these high-paid officers if it is not otherwise possible to restore the D. A. paid to the low-paid officers. In one department of the service the departmental head concerned was pleased to effect a retrenchment in his department by disallowing the T. A. to those poor persons attached to the Munsiffs' courts, the Process servers. That was an item of retrenchment found by the departmental head concerned. What I say is it is not the fault of the Government it is the fault of persons who advice the Government. Things must be placed before the head of the Government in the proper light and Government should be advised to adopt measures which will least affect these poor paid officers. Instead of disallowing the T. A. to the process servers a cut could have been instituted on the pay of the high paid officers of the Judicial department. What I wish to say is, as remarked by a previous speaker, that the Government is being run in the interest of the high-paid officers. That certainly is a thing which could not be tolerated at all. Therefore I support this motion.

മിസ്റ്റർ കെ. ടി. മാത്യു.—സർ, ഗവൺമെൻറിൽനിന്നും യാതൊരു സ്വായവും പറഞ്ഞിട്ടില്ലാത്തതുകൊണ്ടു് ഞാൻ ഇതിനെപ്പറ്റി ഇനിയും സംസാരിയ്ക്കുണ്ടെന്നു വിചാരിയ്ക്കുന്നില്ല.

Motion put and carried.

The whole grant minus Re. 1 put and carried.

Rs. 46,377—under 28—Public Works—Contribution Works.

Mr. V. K. Aravindaksha Menon.—Sir, I rise to move for an additional allotment of Rs. 46,377 under 28—Public Works—contribution works. The purpose of this demand has

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

already been printed and circulated among the members. But I may say that a sum of Rs. 1,24,932 was credited to P. W. Receipts in previous years. This is from the Government of India contribution for road works. But it has now been ordered that all such receipts have to be credited to contribution works. Accordingly the whole sum of Rs. 1,24,932 has to be refunded from revenue to contribution works. Out of this a sum of Rs. 78,555 has already been ordered to be refunded by transfer. The balance of Rs. 46,377 is to be met by this additional allotment. I request that the amount may be sanctioned.

Demand put and carried.

Rs. 11,715 under 30—6—Stoneware Factory.

Mr. V. K. Aravindaksha Menon.—Sir, I rise to move for an additional amount of Rs. 11,715 under 30 (6) Stoneware Factory. This amount is to be utilised for purchasing machinery to the extent of about Rs. 9,000 and the balance is for constructing a roof over the kilns newly constructed. The necessity for these machinery has been brought to our notice by the pottery expert who says that without the machinery it is not possible to run the factory on a commercially economic basis.

Demand put and carried.

Rs. 700—under 32—Uplift—Pulaya Feeding—Secondary Boys.

Rama Varmha Tampuran.—Sir, I rise to move for an allotment of Rs. 700 under 32—Uplift of the Depressed classes Pulaya Feeding—Secondary Boys. The cost on account of this item is estimated to come to Rs. 3,000. There is a budget provision of Rs. 1,800 only. About Rs. 500 can be met from savings from other heads. An additional allotment of Rs. 700 more is required. I request that the House may be pleased to vote this allotment.

Demand put and carried.

Rs. 2,547—under 1—Land Revenue—Capital—Cranganur.

Mr. K. Achyuta Menon.—Sir, I beg to move for an additional allotment of Rs. 2,547 under 1—Land Revenue—Capital—Cranganur. A sum of Rs. 2,546—12—7 has to be deposited in court in connection with the execution of the decree in O. S. 692/30 of the Chowghat Munsiff's Court. It is a redumption decree and this amount represents the balance to be paid to the defendants after setting up arrears of michavaram. There are no funds under Land Revenue to which this expenditure can properly be debited. I request that this expenditure may be sanctioned.

Demand put and carried.

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly